UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,885	11/18/2003	Takenori Yoshizawa	0717-0520P	2816
2292 7590 04/15/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	OH MA 22040 0747	WUJCIAK, ALFRED J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/714,885	YOSHIZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 19-25 is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7,8 and 10-17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2003 is/ai	thdrawn from consideration. relection requirement.	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/714,885, SUBSTRATE ACCOMMODATING TRAY, filed on 11/18/03.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains claim structure from claim 1 which is improper. The applicant should provide brief summary of the invention in the abstract section. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no describition for reference # 40b from figure 9A.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 5,103,976 to Murphy.

Murphy teaches a tray comprising a frame (24) includes a pair of first frame portions (36-37, 50) facing each other and a pair of second frame portions (42-43, 50) facing each other, a plate-like support element (32) having a opening (94) provided between the pair of first frame

Art Unit: 3632

portions and at least one support member (80) provided along the first opening between the first of frame portions. The support element has a second opening (95) provided between the pair of second frame portions. The try includes at least one reinforcing member (31) provided in the support element between the pair of first frame portions. The pair of first frame portions are substantially parallel to each other. The pair of first frame portions and the pair of second frame portions are substantially perpendicular to each other. The frame is quadrangular frame.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy.

Murphy teaches the support member but fails to teach the support member is not project over an upper surface of the support element. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have reduced the height of support member to be flush with the support element to reduce the chance of interference with the other tray when being stacked with tray as shown in figure 6.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy in view of Japan Patent # 410,007,171 to Ikeguchi.

Murphy teaches the support element but fails to teach the support element contains a foamed synthetic resin. Ikeguchi teaches foamed synthetic resin (see abstract). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Muphy's support element with foamed synthetic resin as taught by Ikeguchi to reduce weight in the support element.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy in view of US Patent # 6,227,372 to Thomas et al.

Murphy teaches the frame and at least one support member but fails to teach the frame and at least one support member contain aluminum. Thomas teaches the aluminum (claim 11, line 3). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Murphy's frame and support member with aluminum as taught by Thomas to handle high temperature applications (column 1, lines 6-9 in Thomas's invention).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-8 and 10-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 10/714,885 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

US Patent # 5,794,784 to Murphy

US Patent # 5,273,154 to Braun

US Patent # 3,502,241 to Smith

US Patent # 6,907,993 to Wang

US Patent # 6,874,619 to Hawkes

US Patent # 7,451,876 to Bossi et al.

US Patent # 2004/0000504 to Wang

Murphy, Braun, Smith, Wang, Hawkes and Bossi et al. teach tray with frame, support element and support member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Allen Shriver can be reached on 571-272-6698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,885 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alfred Joseph Wujciak III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632 4/9/09